

DISTRICT COURT
U.S. ~~COURT OF APPEALS~~
FOR THE

MO: _____
DATE: 4/13/06
F.J: _____

District of Delaware

WARR KASER ALUM. CORP. 02-10929
MALDEN, V. U.S.A.F.E.H.

APPEAL NO:

05-CV-574

06 - CV - 178

06-MC-041
NOTION FOR RELIEF

FROM DRIVERS. IFF

APPELLANT'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR
RELEAF FROM/RECONSIDERATION OF
~~COURT'S~~ ORDER(S) OF 3/29/06 & 4/3/06
MOTION FOR ACCESS TO THE COURTS.

DECLARANT BEING SWORN UPON OATH HEREBY DECLARES:
THAT SINCE 12/10/04 I HAVE BEEN SUFFERING AN
ON GOING AND CONTINUOUS "BODILY VIOLATION" OF A TOTAL AND

COMPLETE DENIAL OF ADEQUATE AND MEANINGFUL ACCESS
TO LEGAL ASSISTANCE AND ACCESS TO THE JAIL LAW
LIBRARY/COPIER, PENS, PAPER, ENVELOPES AND OTHER
NECESSARY AND IMPORTANT PERSONAL MATERIALS.

RESOURCES AND ASSISTANCE, RESULTING IN ACTUAL INJURY
OF THE INABILITY TO COMPLY WITH THE COURT'S RULES
AND PROCEDURES, PURSUANT TO FRAP 52.59.60 AND THE
APPLICABLE ~~COURT~~ RULES I HEREBY SEEK RELIEF FROM:

THE ~~CASE~~ ORDER(S) / NOTICE(S) AND A WAIVER OR
SUSPENSION OF THE COURT'S RULES, ~~PER [REDACTED]~~, UNTIL
SUCH TIME AS THE COURT ENFORCES MY 1ST AMEND. RIGHTS

OF ACCESS, BY ISSUANCE OF AN ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER
TO MY INSTITUTE OF INCARCERATION COMPELLING THE
GRANTING OF THE "ASSISTANCE" AND "ACCESS" AS ESTABLISHED

IN BOUNDS V. SMITH, 430 U.S. 817 (1977) AND OTHER RELATED
AUTHORITY, I FURTHER SEEK RELIEF FROM THE CLERK'S
ORDER(S)/NOTICE(S) AS THEY VIOLATE MY FUNDAMENTAL

CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS FOR AT LEAST THE FOLLOWING
REASONS: ① THE CLERK HAS ERRORNEOUSLY MADE A
SUA SPONTE "3-STRIKE" FINDING OR DETERMINATION WITHIN
THE ISSUE IS NOT PROPERLY BEFORE THE COURT SET

DELEON V. DOE 361 F.3d 93, AT 95 (2ND CIR. 2004); (2) THE
CLERK HAS ERRONEOUSLY COUNTED AS "STRIKES" CLAIMED
DISMISSALS THAT ARE MATTERS THAT ARE STILL PENDING.

BEFORE THE USDC OR FOR WHICH A TIMELY NOA WAS FILED
AND THE RIGHT TO APPEAL IS NOT EXHAUSTED, SEE
CANELL V. LIGHTNER, 143 F.3d 1210 (9TH CIR. 1998); (3) THE

DEFENDANT'S UNDERTAKEN NOTICE(S) DEPRIVE THE APPELLANT OF A FUNDAMENTAL CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT OF ACCESS, IN THIS APPEAL. W/O NOTICE OR OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD, ~~NOTICE~~ CAUSING IRREPARABLE HARM.

IMMEDIATE RELIEF BY THE COURT. SEE WALTERS
V. THOMPSON, 615 F. Supp. 330, AT 341 (N.D. ILL 1985)...

FROM THE CLERK'S ORDERS / NOTICES, FOR A WAIVER OR
SUSPENSION OF RULES, TO ALLOW ALL ISSUES TO BE
DETERMINED ON THE MERITS, FOR AN ADMINISTRATIVE
ORDER CORRECTING THE

AT THE MIN. STANDARD ESTABLISHED IN BOUNDS, ID, FOR
AN ORDER EXPETIATING THIS APPEALS) PURSUANT TO 28 USC
§ 1926 & § 1657 IN ORDER TO AVOID THE...

INJURY AND A MANIFEST INJUSTICE.
PC-1 OF 40

PG-1 E 40

THE "3-STRIKE" FINDINGS AND ORDERS MUST BE VACATED IN THAT THE APPELLANT WAS GIVEN NO OPPORTUNITY TO DISPUTE THE CLAIMED STRIKES, SEE EVANS V. ILL. D.O.C., 150 F.3d 810, AT 811-2 (7th CIR 1998) AND ANDREWS V. KING, 398 F.3d 1113, AT 1120. THE U.S. HAS NOT PRESENTED ANY EVIDENCE OF PRIOR DISMISSALS TO ESTABLISH A PRIMA ^{FACIA} CASE OF IFP DISQUALIFICATION, UNDER §1915(G). AS AN INDIGENT PRISONER I CAN NOT MAINTAIN FILES OR RECORDS FOR EACH PRIOR ACTION, AS MY LEGAL FILES AND RECORDS ARE ROUTINELY SEARCHED, SEIZED AND NOT RETURNED. I HAVE NO ACCESS TO PAPER, I CAN NOT VISIT THE CLERK'S OFFICES, OR CALL THEM. ALL OF MY REQUESTS FOR RECORDS HAVE BEEN SUMMARILY DENIED, AND AS I AM INDIGENT I HAVE NO ABILITY TO HAVE A COURT FILING SERVICE TO OBTAIN RECORDS FOR ME. MY OPPOSING LITIGANTS HAVE READY AND IMMEDIATE ACCESS TO ALL COURT RECORDS, BUT HAVE NOT PROVIDED OR PRODUCED ANY. FURTHER, THE ISSUE OF "3-STRIKES" IS NOT PROPERLY BEFORE THE COURT BY WAY OF A MOTION BY THE OPPOSING LITIGANT, SEE DE LEON, 361 F.3d AT 95, REVERSING THE ~~RECURVA~~ ^{RECURVA} ~~SONTE~~ "3-STRIKE" ORDERS TO BE VOID FOR A LACK OF DUE PROCESS. THE BURDEN INITIALLY IS NOT ON THE APPELLANT, SEE ANDREWS, 398 F.3d AT FNB. ONLY AFTER THE OPPOSING LITIGANT PROVIDES 3 SPECIFIC CITATIONS TO POTENTIAL STRIKES ~~OR~~ ^{AND} SUPPORTING, ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE, DOES THE BURDEN "SHIFT" TO THE APPELLANT, SEE EVANS, 150 F.3d AT 811-2 AND ANDREWS, 398 F.3d AT 1120, HANES. BY PLEADING #A, FILED/DATED 12/5/05 (PLA-MOTION) APPELLANT HAS ESTABLISHED BY ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE, UNCONVERTED AT TIME, OF A PRIMA FACIE CASE OF NO COUNTABLE STRIKES. FURTHER AS THIS APPEAL RELATES TO FUNDAMENTAL CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS, LOSS OF LIBERTY & RETALIATORY TERMINATION OF PARENTAL RIGHTS, A FEE WAIVER IS MANDATORY, SEE MLB V. SLT, 519 U.S. 102 (1996) AND BODDIE V. CONNECTICOT, 401 U.S. 371 (1971).

APPELLANT IN CASE NOS. 05-CV-574 AND 06-CV-178, HEREBY SEEKS RELIEF FROM THE COURT'S ORDER OF DISMISSAL IN 05-CV-574 AND THE 3/29/06 AND 4/3/06 ORDERS IN CASE NO. 06-MC-041, AND 06-CV-178, AND SEEKS CONSOLIDATION OF CASES 05-CV-574 AND 06-CV-178, AND APPELLANT HEREBY ASSESTS A COLLATERAL ATTACK ON THE "STRIKE" AND "3-STRIKE" WHICH FORM THE BASIS FOR THE COURT'S DENIAL OF IFP STATUS PURSUANT TO § 1915(g).

APPELLANT HEREBY SEEKS AN EXTENSION OF TIME, ~~10~~ OF 30 DAYS, ALONG WITH AN ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER GRANTING APPELLANT THE NECESSARY ACCESS TO THE JAIL LAW LIBRARY, COPIER, PENS, PAPER ENVELOPES, AND OTHER LEGAL RESOURCES AS NECESSARY FOR APPELLANT TO PRESENT HIS CLAIMS,

PG 3 of 40

TO THIS COURT. IN SUPPORT OF THE MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME AND FOR AN ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER FOR ACCESS TO THE COURT, APPELLANT CERTIFIES THE FOLLOWING CLAIMS.

THAT THE "STRIKE" AND "3-STRIKE" ORDERS RELIED UPON BY THIS COURT ARE "VOID" AND SUBJECT TO A COLLATERAL ATTACK IN THESE ACTIONS FOR EACH OF THE FOLLOWING REASONS:

1. IN THE UNRELATED ACTIONS WHERE THE "STRIKE" AND "3-STRIKES" ORDERS ARISE, APPELLANT HAS MULTIPLE FUNDAMENTAL INTERESTS AT STAKE, WHICH INCLUDE THE CHANCING OF IFP, WAIVER OF FEES AND COSTS, AS THE APPELLANT, BY THE ACTIONS THE "STRIKE" AND "3-STRIKE" ORDERS AROSE IN, SEEKS TO: (1) CHALLENGE A "VOID" DIVORCE DECREE (SEE

BODDIE V. CONNECTICUT, 401 U.S. 371, AT 374 (1971)); (2) CHALLENGE A "VOID" PERMANENT RESTRAINING ORDER, TERMINATING APPELLANT'S PARENTAL RIGHTS (SEE

ITEM "U" SEPARATION CONTRACT" AND FCW 26.09.070 (6) PROVIDING FOR ENFORCEMENT THEREOF AS A JUDGMENT PL 4 OF 40 BY THIS COURT.

M.L.B. v. S.L.J. 519 U.S. 102 (1996)); AND (3) THE TAKING OF APPELLANT'S "LIBERTY" BY "VOID" ORDERS, PURPORTING TO ENFORCE THE TERMINATION OF APPELLANT'S PARENTAL RIGHTS (SEE MAYER V. CHICAGO 404 U.S. (1971)).

2. THE "STRIKE" AND "3-STRIKE" ORDERS WERE ENTERED IN VIOLATION OF THE REIMPOSED AUTOMATIC STAY (11 USC § 362) AND THE DISCHARGE AND DISCHARGE INJUNCTION, ESTABLISHED BY THE "FOREIGN JUDGMENTS", (SEE EX. 2, PGS 38 OF 40 AND 40 OF 40, ITEMS b, d, h, i, j, k, L, ETC);

3. THE APPELLANT HAS A "VESTED RIGHT", IN HIS MONEY JUDGMENT AGAINST THE DEBTOR (KAISER), ESTABLISHED BY THE KAISER'S CONFIRMED PLAN, ALLOWING APPELLANT'S CLAIM # 736, IN CASE NO. 02-10429, WHICH IS PROTECTED BY THE DEBE PROCESS PROTECTIONS OF THE 5TH & 14TH AMEND, (SEE ANDREE V. COUNTY OF MASSACHUSETTS, 311 F. SUPP. 2d 325, AT 335, HEADNOTE [15] (E.D. NY. 2004), AND BENJAMIN V. JACOBSON, 124 F.3d 162, AT 176 (2ND CIR 1997) AND COUNTY OF SUFFOLK V. LONG ISLAND LIGHTING CO., 14 F. SUPP. 2d 260, AT 265, HEADNOTE [2] (E.D. NY. 1998).

I CERTIFY THAT THIS MOTION/PLEADING WAS MAILED/SERVED ON 4/13/06 BY PLACING IT INTO THE OUTGOING INDIGENT MAIL. JAW
4/13/06
PG 4 OF 40

JUL 24 2004 PM

DUNCAN J. McNEIL
SPOKANE CO. JAIL
1100 W. MARCON
SPOKANE, WA 99260



CLERK

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

844 KING STREET

LOCK BOX 18

WILMINGTON, DE 19801-

3570


U.S.M.S.
X-RAY

LEGAL MAIL

IFP MOTION (SUPPLEMENTAL) ~~TO THE~~ AND

COLLATERAL ATTACK ON "STRIKE" ORDERS

THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR HEREBY MAKES A COLLATERAL ATTACK ON ALL: (1) "VEXATIONOUS LITIGANT" ORDERS; (2) PRE-FILING ORDERS OR ORDERS RESTRICTING ACCESS TO THE COURTS; (3) SUA SPONTE DISMISSAL ORDERS DECLARING A "STRIKE"; AND (4) SUA SPONTE "3-STRIKE" DISMISSAL ORDERED OR STRUCK-OUT" ORDERS



THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR HAS BEEN THE VICTIM ON NUMEROUS NON-JUDICIAL ACTS, IN "A CLEAR ABSENCE OF ALL JURISDICTION" OR CONTRARY TO ESTABLISHED STATUTE OR CASE LAW AUTHORITY, RESULTING IN THE UNLAWFUL ISSUANCE OF: (1) "VEXATIONOUS LITIGANT" ORDERS; (2) PRE-FILING ORDERS AND/OR ORDERS RESTRICTING ACCESS TO THE COURTS; (3) SUA SPONTE DISMISSAL ORDERS, DECLARING A "STRIKE" UNDER 28 USC § 1915; AND/OR (4) SUA SPONTE "3-STRIKE" DISMISSAL ORDERS, OR "STRUCK-OUT" ORDERS, PURSUANT TO 28 USC § 1915(2). ALL SUCH ORDERS ARE HERENAFTER REFERRED TO AS "DENIAL OF ACCESS ORDERS", OR "DOA ORDERS". ALL SUCH "DOA ORDERS" ARE HEREBY COLLATERALLY ATTACKED BY THIS MOTION/PETITION/ACTION. ARE

① INCLUDING ALL ORDERS, JUDGMENTS OR SENTENCES TAKING THE FUNDAMENTAL ELEMENTS LIBERTY, PROPERTY OR RESTRICTION OF LIVING HIS FAMILIAL RIGHTS, IN ANY WAY.

1.01 (CONT). HEREBY DECLARED TO BE "VOID AB INITIO" ORDERS OF NO FORCE AND EFFECT, AND A LEGAL NULLITY.

1.02 ALL SUCH "DOA ORDERS" WERE ENTERED IN VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS, W/O NOTICE OR MEANINGFUL OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD ON THE MERITS: ① "A CLEAR ABSENCE OF ALL JURISDICTION", BY NON-JUDICIAL ACTS; ② IN VIOLATION OF THE TERMS OF THE "FOREIGN JUDGMENTS" (EX. 2); ③ IN VIOLATION OF THE REIMPOSED AUTOMATIC STAY (11 U.S.C. § 362) ESTABLISHED BY THE "FOREIGN JUDGMENTS"; ④ IN VIOLATION OF THE DISCHARGE AND DISCHARGE INJUNCTION (11 U.S.C. § 524 & 11 U.S.C. § 1141) ESTABLISHED BY THE "FOREIGN JUDGMENTS" (EX. 2); AND/OR ⑤ ~~EXCESS~~ ~~OF THAT~~ A NON-JUDICIAL ACT GRANTING RELIEF IN EXCESS OF THAT PLED, OR IN EXCESS OF THAT SPECIFICALLY PRESERVED BY THE "FOREIGN JUDGMENTS" (EX. 2).

1.03 THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR HEREBY ASSENTS AS DEFENSES TO THE "VOID AB INITIO" - "DOA ORDERS", THE DOCTRINES OF: ① RES JUDICATA; ② COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL; ③ ISSUE OR CLAIM PRECLUSION; AND ④ THE DEFENSE

OF ABSOLUTE QUASI-JUDICIAL IMMUNITY,
AND THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR, IN THIS
MOTION/PETITION/ACTION AND COLLATERAL
ATTACK, HEREBY GIVES NOTICE OF
DEFENSE BASED UPON PUBLIC

AUTHORITY, PURSUANT TO FEDERAL
CRIMINAL RULE 12.3, SEE WARDLUS V.
OREGON, 412 U.S. 470, 93 S. CT. 2208
(1973).

1.04 THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR
HEREBY GIVES NOTICE, AND CERTIFIES
THAT AT ALL TIMES IN RELATION
TO THE "DOA ORDERS", THE
JUDGMENT CREDITOR WAS ACTING AS
COURT APPOINTED FIDUCIARY AND
"ARM-OF-THE-COURT", AS AN "OFFICER
OF THE UNITED STATES", AS DEFINED
BY 42 U.S.C. § 1985(1), APPOINTED
PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 1123(b)(3)(B),
AND AS THE DIRECT AND/OR INDIRECT
TRANSFeree OF, OR SUCCESSOR IN
INTEREST TO THE REORGANIZED
DEBTOR AND THE DEBTOR ESTATE,
AS ESTABLISHED BY 11 U.S.C. §
524(g)(3)(A)(i), CHARGED WITH AND
COMPELLED BY, FEDERAL COURT ORDER(S)
WITH THE FULL AND COMPLETE
EXECUTION AND ENFORCEMENT OF
THE "FOREIGN JUDGMENTS" (EX.2).

1.05 ACCORDINGLY, THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR, HAS AND CLAIMS COMPLETE AND ABSOLUTE QUASI-JUDICIAL IMMUNITY AS TO ALL SUCH "DOA ORDERS" WHICH PURPORT TO TAKE, ~~THE~~ RESTRICT OR IMPINGE UPON THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR'S LIBERTY, PROPERTY, PARENTAL RIGHTS, OR OTHER CONSTITUTIONAL OR CIVIL RIGHTS, IN ANY RESPECT.

1.06 THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR HEREBY ASSERTS AND CHARGES THAT ALL SUCH "DOA ORDERS" WERE ISSUED AND ENTERED IN NON-JUDICIAL ACTS, UNDERTAKEN IN A POLITICAL AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY ^(AS DEFINED BY 42 USC 1985) TO INTERFERE WITH, AND IN RETALIATION AND RETRIBUTION FOR THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR HAVING SOUGHT TO EXERCISE HIS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT (AND COURT ORDERED OBLIGATION) FOR THE GRANTING OF FULL FAITH & CREDIT TO, AND THE EXECUTION AND ENFORCEMENT OF, THE "FOREIGN JUDGMENTS".

1.07 THE APPLICATION OF 28 U.S.C. § 1915(3), AND THE CLAIMED "STRIKE" AND "3-STRIKE" ORDERS REPRESENT AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL INFRINGEMENT ON

(P.07 CONT.)

THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR'S FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT OF ACCESS TO THE COURTS. IN WILSON V. SANFORD, 148 F.3d 596, AT 605 (6TH CIR 1998), CITING TO FATSY V. Bd. OF Regents of Florida, 457 U.S. 486, AT 506-07 (1982) AND HAMPTON V. HOBBS, 106 F.3d 1281, AT 1285 (6TH CIR. 1997), IT WAS HELD THAT AS LONG AS THE LITIGANT HAD AN "AVAILABLE" JUDICIAL FORUM, ^(STATE COURT) THAT 28 U.S.C. § 1915(j) ~~UNLAWFULLY~~ AND "STRIKE" AND "3-STRIKE" ORDERS REQUESTING THEREFROM WERE NOT UNCONSTITUTIONAL. IN THE CASE OF THE INSTANT JUDGMENT CREDITOR, THE STATE COURTS (SPOKANE CO. SUPERIOR COURT, COURT OF APPEALS, DIV III AND WASHINGTON SUPREME COURT) HAVE ALL PREVIOUSLY ^{UNLAWFULLY} DENIED THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR IFP STAYS, AND HAVE PURPORTEDLY BARRED THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR FROM SEEKING FULL FAIR & CREDIT AND THE EXECUTION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE "FOREIGN JUDGMENTS" IN ANY COURT IN THE STATE OF WASHINGTON. AT THE TIME THAT THE ~~FEDERAL COURTS~~ JUDGMENT CREDITOR SOUGHT IFP ACCESS.

(1.07 cont.)

TO THE FEDERAL COURTS, AND THE FEDERAL COURTS BEGAN SUA SPONTE ISSUANCE OF "STRIKE" AND "3-STRIKE" ORDERS, ON 11/9/04, THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR HAD ALREADY BEEN DENIED ALL ACCESS TO THE STATE COURTS, (UNLAWFULLY & UNCONSTITUTIONALLY, AND IN VIOLATION OF BCW 7.36.140, SEE SMITH V. WHATCOM CO., 147 WASH. 2d 98 (2002)), AND IF ACCESS TO THE FEDERAL COURTS, WAS THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR'S ONLY REMAINING FORUM. IN LIGHT OF THESE FACTS, AND THE HOLDINGS IN WILSON, DATSY AND HAMPTON, THE APPLICATION OF 28 U.S.C. § 1915(3) AND THE SUA SPONTE ISSUANCE OF "STRIKE" AND "3-STRIKE" DISMISSALS, IN NON-JUDICIAL ACTS, ARE UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND CONSTITUTE AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL INFRINGEMENT ON THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR'S FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT OF ACCESS TO THE COURTS, RENDERING ALL FEDERAL "DOA ORDERS" TO BE "VOID AB INITIO".

1.08 THE VAST MAJORITY OF THE § 1915 "STRIKE" AND "3-STRIKE" SUA DISMISSAL ORDERS WERE ISSUED IN ACTIONS/PETITIONS TO COMPEL OFFICERS OF THE UNITED STATES TO PERFORM

(1.08 CONT.)

MANDATORY, NON-DISCRETIONARY AND MINISTERIAL DUTIES OWED TO THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR, SUCH AS: ① THE RESTORATION OF THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR'S PARENTAL RIGHTS; ② THE GRANTING OF FULL FATH & CREDIT TO THE "FOREIGN JUDGMENTS"; AND/OR ③ VACATING AND DECLARING "VOID AB INITIO" JUDGMENTS OR ORDERS ENTERED IN "A CLEAR ABSENCE OF ALL JURISDICTION" AND CONTRARY TO ESTABLISHED STATUTES AND CASE LAW AUTHORITY, IN NON-JUDICIAL ACTS. IT IS WELL SETTLED THAT ALL MANDAMUS ACTIONS OR PETITIONS (SUCH AS THIS MOTION/ACTION/PETITION) BROUGHT PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1361 ARE NOT SUBJECT TO THE PRISON LITIGATION REFORM ACT (PLRA), 28 U.S.C. § 1915. PETITIONER SEEKING TO COMPEL DISTRICT COURT TO ACT ON HIS PENDING HABEAS PETITION DID NOT HAVE TO COMPLY WITH THE FEE REQUIREMENTS OF THE PLRA, MADDEN V. MYERS, 112 F.3d 74 (5th Cir 1997); PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS THAT AROSE

(1.08 CONT)

OUT OF APPLICATION FOR POSTCONVICTION RELIEF, WAS NOT SUBJECT TO FEE PAYMENT REQUIREMENTS UNDER THE PLRA. FOR PRISONERS DESIRING TO APPEAR IFP, IN RE STONE, 118 F.3d 1032 (5TH CIR. 1997); FILING FEE REQUIREMENTS OF PLRA DID NOT APPLY TO A PRISONER'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS, FILED IN COURT OF APPEALS SEEKING TO COMPEL JUDGE IN CRIMINAL CASE TO RULE ON RECUSAL MOTION, IN RE NABY, 89 F.3d 115 (2ND CIR. 1996). AS SUCH ALL "DOA ORDERS" ENTERED IN THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR'S ACTIONS/PETITIONS FOR HABEAS CORPUS DECLARING A "STRIKE" OR A "3-STRIKE" DISMISSAL UNDER THE PLRA ARE VOID AND OF NO FORCE AND EFFECT.

1.09 AFTER BEING UNLAWFULLY DENIED ACCESS TO STATE COURTS, THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR SOUGHT RELIEF IN FEDERAL COURT, REQUESTING IFP ACCESS TO: ① CHALLENGE THE SUA SPONTE RETALIATORY TERMINATION OF HIS PARENTAL RIGHTS; ② CHALLENGE HIS UNLAWFUL LOSSES OF LIBERTY & PROPERTY, DETENTIONS, INCARCERATIONS,

AND/OR CLAIMED CRIMINAL CONVICTIONS BY APPEAL. THE U.S. SUPREME COURT HAS HELD THAT THE CONSTITUTION REQUIRES THE WAIVER FOR INDIGENT PERSONS WHO ARE CHALLENGING TERMINATION OF THEIR PARENTAL RIGHTS (SEE MLB V. SUT 519 U.S. 102 (1996)) OR SEEKING A DIVORCE, OR TO CHALLENGE A VOID DIVORCE DECREE (SEE BODDIE V. CONNECTICUT, 400 U.S. 371, AT 374 (1971)). IN THIS INSTANCE, THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR ^{RETALIATORY} SOUGHT TO CHALLENGE THE TERMINATION OF HIS PARENTAL RIGHTS, AND TO VACATE AND VOID THE 12/8/03 DECREE, BY ~~AND~~ ACTIONS TO ENFORCE THE "FOREIGN JUDGMENTS", AND AS SUCH THE CONSTITUTION REQUIRES THE WAIVER OF THE FILING FEES IN ALL SUCH ACTIONS, THEREBY VOIDING ALL SUCH IFP DENIALS, AS TO "FUNDAMENTAL INTERESTS".

1.10 THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR IS NOT A PERSON WHO IS LAWFULLY "INCARCERATED OR DETAINED IN ANY FACILITY WHO IS ACCUSED OF, CONVICTED OF, SENTENCED FOR, OR ADJUDICATED DELINQUENT FOR, VIOLATIONS OF CRIMINAL LAW OR THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF PAROLE, PROBATION,

(109 CONT)

PRETRIAL RELEASE, OR DIVERSIONARY PROGRAM." THE JUDGMENT ~~IS~~ CREDITOR IS A "CIVIL DETAINEE" UNLAWFULLY INCARCERATED DUE TO "VOID AB INITIO" CIVIL ORDERS, ISSUED IN "A CLEAR ABSENCE OF ALL JURISDICTION" AND IN NON-JUDICIAL ACTS, CONTRARY TO CLEARLY ESTABLISHED STATUTE AND CASE LAW AUTHORITY, WHO IS THEREFORE NOT SUBJECT TO THE PLRA 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), AS A "CIVIL DETAINEE," SEE TROVILLE V. VENZ, 303 F.3d 1256, AT 1260 (11TH CIR 2002); PAGE V. TORREY, 201 F.3d 1136, AT 1139 (9TH CIR. 2000). AS SUCH ALL "STRIKE" OR "3-STRIKE" ORDERS ISSUED AGAINST THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR, ARE VOID, ISSUED IN "A CLEAR ABSENCE OF ALL JURISDICTION."

1. 10 EACH OF THE "STRIKE" OR "3-STRIKE" DISMISSALS CLAIMED AGAINST ~~THE~~ THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR IS IN RELATION TO AN ACTION THAT WAS THEN, AND IS STILL NOW PENDING EITHER BEFORE THE DISTRICT COURT, OR PENDING ON APPEAL, BY THE FILING OF A TIMELY NOTICE OF APPEAL.

IT IS WELL ESTABLISHED THAT COUNTABLE "STRIKES" UNDER THE PLRA INCLUDE ONLY DISMISSALS FOR WHICH AN APPEAL HAS BEEN EXHAUSTED OR WAIVED, SEE ADEPEGBA V. HAMMONS, 103 F.3d 383, AT 388 (5TH CIR 1996); PATTON V. JEFFERSON C.C., 136 F.3d 458, AT 462 (5TH CIR 1998); SUCH A DISMISSAL, PENDING APPEAL CAN NOT BE CONSIDERED A "STRIKE" COUNTABLE AGAINST THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR, AS REVERSAL OF THE "STRIKE DISMISSAL" WOULD NULLIFY THE STRIKE, ADEPEGBA, 103 F.3d AT 387; PATTON, 136 F.3d AT 464. IN THE ~~THE~~ INSTANT CASE(S) THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR HAS NO COUNTABLE STRIKES, AS ALL ACTIONS CLAIMED AS "STRIKES" ARE EITHER STILL PENDING BEFORE THE DISTRICT COURT, OR A TIMELY NOTICE OF APPEAL WAS FILED AND THE APPELLATE REVIEW OF THE ~~CLAIMED~~ EACH CLAIMED "STRIKE" IS NOT EXHAUSTED.

1.11 IT IS AN ERROR FOR THE DISTRICT COURT TO RECORD A

"STRIKE" AT THE TIME OF THE INITIAL SUA SPONTE DISMISSAL, SEE STEWART V. LYLES, 66 FED. APPX. 18, AT 22 AT HEADNOTE [9]. THE DESIGNATION OF A "STRIKE" HAS NO PRACTICAL CONSEQUENCES UNTIL A DEFENDANT IN A PRISONER LAW SUIT, RAISES THE CONTENTION THAT THE PRISONER'S SUIT OR APPEAL MAY NOT BE MAINTAINED IFP PURSUANT TO 28 USC § 1915, BECAUSE THE PRISONER IS ALLEGED TO HAVE ACCUMULATED THREE STRIKES. SEE SNIDER V. MELINDEZ, 199 F.3d 108, AT 115, HEADNOTE [5]ii. NOT A SINGLE ONE OF THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR'S THREE STRIKE SUA SPONTE DISMISSALS ~~IS~~ WAS ENTERED IN RESPONSE TO A MOTION BY THE OPPOSING PARTY, AND ALL OF THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR'S CLAIMED STRIKES WERE ERRONEOUSLY RECORDED BY THE DISTRICT COURT, AT THE TIME THE INITIAL SUA SPONTE DISMISSAL WAS ENTERED.

1.12 A REVERSAL OF A SUA SPONTE DISMISSAL DECLARED A "STRIKE" NULLIFIES THE "STRIKE", SEE ADEPEGBAY. HAMMONS, 103 F.3d 383,

(5TH CIR 1996)

AT 387, HEADNOTE [5], AS A DISMISSAL SHOULD NOT COUNT AS A "STRIKE" AGAINST A PRISONER UNTIL HE HAS EXHAUSTED OR WAIVED HIS APPEALS. ANY OTHER INTERPRETATION OF 28 USC § 1915(G) WOULD POSE A RISK OF PUNISHING AN INDIGENT LITIGANT FOR NONCULPABLE CONDUCT (SEE ADEPEGBA, ID., 103 F.3d AT 387-88, HEADNOTE [6]) AS HAS BEEN WRONGFULLY DONE TO THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR.

1.13 SEVERAL COURTS HAVE WRONGFULLY ENTERED SUA SPONTE DISMISSALS FOR ^{THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR} FAILING TO FILE A COMPLETE ^{IFP} PETITION ~~ON~~ CONSISTENT WITH § 1915(G), OMITTING THE JAIL STATEMENT, A PARTIAL FILING FEE, THE ENTIRE FILING FEE, A DISCLOSURE THAT THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR HAS ALLEGEDLY "STRUCK OUT" OR SOME OTHER CLAIMED DEFECT. SUCH SUA SPONTE DISMISSALS FOR A CLAIMED FAILURE TO MEET THE STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS OF THE PLRA ARE INVALID. SEE JACKSON V. STINNETT, 102 F.3d 132, AT 136, HEADNOTE [1], (5TH CIR. 1996); COVINO V. REOPEL, 89 F.3d 105, AT 108-09 (2ND CIR. 1996) (APPLYING PLRA AND GIVING PLAINTIFFS 30 DAYS TO MEET

STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS).

1.14 EACH AND EVERY "SUA SPONTE" "STRIKE" OR "3 STRIKE" DISMISSALS WAS MADE SUA SPONTE BY THE DISTRICT COURT, ON IT'S OWN MOTION, WITHOUT AFFORDING THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR NOTICE AND OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD. AS SUCH ALL SUA SPONTE ~~THE~~ "STRIKE" OR "3-STRIKE" DISMISSALS MUST BE VACATED. SEE PEREZ V. ORTIZ, 849 F.2d 793, AT 797 (2ND CIR 1988); SQUARE D CO. V. NIAGARA FRONTIER TAUFF BUREAU, 760 F.2d 1347, 1365 (2ND CIR 1985); SCHESLINGER & INV. PARTNERSHIP V. FLUGA CORP, 671 F.2d 739, AT 742 (2ND CIR 1982); EADES V. THOMPSON, 823 F.2d 1055, AT 1062 (7TH CIR 1987) AND SNIDER V. MELINDEZ, 199 F.3d 108, AT 112, HEADNOTE [2] (2ND CIR 1999).

1.15 THE "3-STRIKE" DISMISSAL OF A ~~THE~~ INDIGENT INMATE'S ACTION OR APPEAL, ON ~~THE~~ ^A SUA SPONTE BASIS, WITHOUT A NOTICE AND A MOTION FROM THE DEFENDANT OR OPPOSING LITIGANT IS A NON-JUDICIAL ACT IN "A CLEAR ABSENCE OF ALL JURISDICTION" WHICH INVOLVES THE COURTS IN DISPUTES THAT MIGHT NEVER HAVE ANY PRACTICAL CONSEQUENCE, SEE DELEON V. DOE, 361 F.3d 93, AT 95 (2ND CIR 2004).

QUOTING FROM SNIDER V. MELINDEZ, 199 F.3d 108, AT 115 (2ND CIR. 1999). EACH AND EVERY ONE OF THE "3-STRIKE" DISMISSALS MADE AGAINST THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR, WAS MADE IN A SUA SPONTE NON-JUDICIAL ACT, IN THE ABSENCE OF A MOTION FROM THE OPPOSING PARTY AND WITHOUT NOTICE OR OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD, IN "A CLEAR ABSENCE OF ALL JURISDICTION" RENDERING ALL SUCH "3-STRIKE" SUA SPONTE DISMISSALS TO BE "VOID AB INITIO".

1.16 THE "DOA ORDERS" AT ISSUE IN THIS PETITION/MOTION/ACTION WERE ISSUED IN NON-JUDICIAL ACTS W/O NOTICE OR OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD, IN VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS. RENDERING THE "DOA ORDERS" VOID AND SUBJECT TO COLLATERAL ATTACK, AT ANY TIME, THEIR VALIDITY IS QUESTIONED, SEE BLUMIE V. U.S., 40 BR. 551, AT 553, HEADNOTES [5], [6] & [7] (D.C. SD 1984); BRADLEY V. ST. LOUIS TERMINAL WAREHOUSE CO., 189 F.2d 818, AT 824, ~~8~~ HEADNOTE [13] (8TH CIR 1951); JONES V. GILES, 741 F.2d 245, AT 248, HEADNOTES ~~[4]~~ [4] & [6] (9TH CIR. 1984); CHICOT CO. DRAINAGE DIST V. BAXTER ST. BANK, 308 U.S. 371, AT 376-77 (1940); GLACIETTE V. STAR GUARANTEE INC., 66 F.R.D. 424, AT 428-29, (SD.NY, 1975).

1.17 THE REIMPOSED AUTOMATIC STAY, PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 362, ESTABLISHED BY THE "FOREIGN JUDGMENTS" (EX. 2), WHICH WERE THE SUBJECT OF THE ACTIONS WHERE THE "DOA ORDERS" WERE ENTERED, ~~ARE~~ (SEE HILLIS MOTORS INC v HAWAII AUTO DEALERS ASS'N, 997 F.2d 581, AT 585-90 (9TH CIR 1993); WOLF v. WEINSTEIN, 372 U.S. 633, AT 643 (1963); PEPPER v. LITTON 308 U.S. 295, AT 306 (1939); IN RE NAT. ENV. WASTE CORP., 200 F.2d 1266, AT 1268 (9TH CIR. 2000); IN RE SMITH, 141 F.3d 1179 (9TH CIR 1998); AND IN RE CELEBRITY HOME ENT. INC., 210 F.3d 995, AT 998 (9TH CIR 2000)) ACTS TO VOID ALL OF THE "DOA ORDERS", AUTOMATICALLY, AS THEY WERE ENTERED IN VIOLATION OF THE REIMPOSED AUTOMATIC STAY (IN RE SCHULTZ 954 F.2d 569 (9TH CIR 1992); IN RE CALDER 907 F.2d 953 (10TH CIR 1990)). THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURTS, ARE LIMITED IN THEIR JURISDICTION BY THE REIMPOSED AUTOMATIC STAY ESTABLISHED IN THE "FOREIGN JUDGMENTS", TO THE ENTRY OF ORDERS THAT ARE NOT INCONSISTENT WITH THE TERMS OF THE "FOREIGN JUDGMENTS" (EX. 2), SEE PICCO v. GLOBAL MARINE, 900 F.2d 846 (5TH CIR 1990).

1.18 AT ALL TIMES IN THE ACTIONS WHERE THE "DOA ORDERS" WERE ISSUED, I WAS ACTING ~~AS~~ IN MY OFFICIAL CAPACITY, AS THE COURT APPOINTED TRUSTEE, SEEKING TO EXECUTE AND ENFORCE THE "FOREIGN JUDGMENTS" (EX. 2), AS SUCH ALL ACTS, ACTIONS OR CLAIMS TAKEN AGAINST ME, WHILE ACTING IN MY OFFICIAL CAPACITY, SUCH AS THE "DOA ORDERS" ARE "VOID AB INITIO", SEE IN RE MARKOS GURNEE PARTNERSHIP, 182 B.R. 211 (BANKR. N.D. IL. 1995).

1.19 AS A MATTER OF LAW, THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR CAN, AND HAS, SIMPLY IGNORE(D) THE "DOA ORDERS", AS A MATTER OF FEDERAL STATUTE, 11 U.S.C. § 524(G)(1), PURSUANT TO THE DISCHARGE AND DISCHARGE INJUNCTION ESTABLISHED BY THE "FOREIGN JUDGMENTS". IN THE 1978 AMENDMENTS TO THE BANKRUPTCY ACT, CONGRESS EXPRESSLY MADE IT LAWFUL FOR THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR, TO SIMPLY IGNORE ALL JUDGMENTS OR ORDERS SUCH AS THE "DOA ORDERS" WHICH GRANT RELIEF AGAINST THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR, THAT WAS NOT SPECIFICALLY PRESERVED WITHIN THE "FOREIGN JUDGMENTS"; SEE DUNBAR V. CONTRACTORS LIC. Bd., 235 B.R. 465 (9TH CIR. 1999); IN RE ALDRE, INC., 216 B.R.

19, AT 29 (9TH CIR BAP 1997); IN RE SCHWARTZ 954 F.2d 569, AT 573-75 (9TH CIR 1992); GONZALES V. PARKS, 830 F.2d 1033 (9TH CIR 1987); IN RE FRANCESCHI, 268 B.R. 219, AT 226 (9TH CIR BAP 2001); IN RE CAUZE, 254 B.R. 801, AT 810 (Bankr S.D. N.Y. 2000); IN RE PAVELICH, 229 B.R. 777, AT 781 (9TH CIR BAP 1999); 4 LAURENCE P. KING, ET. AL, COLLIER ON BANKRUPTCY ¶ 524-13 [I] (15TH ED REV. 1998); IN RE HENSZER, 248 B.R. 488, AT 491 (Bankr D. N.J. 2000).

1.20 ACCORDINGLY, THIS COURT HAS A MANDATORY, NON-DISCRETIONARY AND MINISTERIAL DUTY TO VACATE AND DECLARE "VOID AB INITIO" EACH AND EVERY "DOA ORDER", AT ISSUE HEREIN, SEE JORDAN V. GILLIGAN, 500 F.2d 701, AT 704 (6TH CIR 1974); 7 J. MOORE FEDERAL PRACTICE, ¶ 60.25 (2) AT 301 (2ND ED. 1973) AND LUBBEN V. SELECTIVE SERVICE, 453 F.2d 645 (1ST CIR 1972); CHAI V. HENG 93 P3d 936 (WASH. APP. DIV. I 2004).

1.21 I HEREBY CERTIFY AND DECLARE THE FOREGOING TO BE TRUE AND CORRECT UNDER THE PENALTY OF PERJURY AND I CERTIFY THAT THIS PETITION/MOTION/ACTION WAS FILED/MAILED BY PLACING IT INTO THE OUTGOING INDIGENT, LEGAL MAIL AT SCT SE-30 ON ~~4/15/06~~ 3/15/06

-18 DATED: ~~4/15/06~~ 3/15/06

PG 22 OF 40

DUNCAN J. McNEIL, III
JUDGMENT CREDITOR

ITP DECLARATION

1. I AM OVER THE AGE OF 18 AND I HAVE PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE OF THE FOLLOWING

2. I AM PRESENTLY UNLAWFULLY

THE UNITED STATES,
INCARCERATED BY, THE STATE OF WASHINGTON,
COUNTY OF SPOKANE AND THE CITY OF SPOKANE,
IN VIOLATION OF MY CONSTITUTIONAL AND
CIVIL RIGHTS, AS A "CIVIL DETAINEE".

3. THAT I AM AN "OFFICER OF THE
UNITED STATES" AS DEFINED BY 42 U.S.C. §
1985(1) APPOINTED PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §
1123(b)(3)(B) AS THE DISBURSING AGENT AND
LIQUIDATING TRUSTEE AND GENERAL MANAGER
FOR REORGANIZED DEBTOR BROADWAY
BUILDINGS II, L.P., ~~BE~~ PURSUANT TO ORDER
OF THE U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT, CENTRAL
DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA.

4. THAT AS A DISABLED PERSON,
I HAVE BEEN THE CONTINUING AND
ONGOING VICTIM OF INTENTIONAL
DISCRIMINATION AND RETALIATION, BY
THE U.S., THE STATE OF WASHINGTON,
THE COUNTY OF SPOKANE, AND THE CITY
OF SPOKANE, IN A KNOWING AND
INTENTIONAL VIOLATION OF MY CIVIL
AND CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS, FOR
HAVING EXERCISED MY COURT APPOINTED
DUTIES PURSUANT TO THE EXECUTION
AND ENFORCEMENT OF BROADWAY'S
CONFIRMED PLAN, PURSUANT TO U.S.
CONST. ART 4, § 1, FULL FAITH &

CREDIT CLAUSE. I AM PRESENTLY UNDER
IMMINENT DANGER OF SERIOUS PHYSICAL INJURY DUE
MY CONDITIONS OF INCARCERATION AND THE
WITHHOLDING OF MEDICAL CARE. 23 OF 40

5. BY THE ATTACHED IFP APPLICATION I MOVE THE COURT FOR AN ORDER ALLOWING ME TO PROCEED IN THIS ACTION WITHOUT PREPAYMENT OF FEES.

6. I ALSO MOVE THE COURT FOR AN ORDER ALLOWING ME ELECTRONIC FILING STATUS, ALONG WITH A WAIVER OF PACER AND ELECTRONIC ACCESS, FILING AND SERVICE FEES.

7. I FURTHER MOVE THE COURT FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL, IN THIS ACTION, PURSUANT TO 28 USC § 1915(c)(1), FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS:

(a) TO ATTAIN DUE PROCESS OF LAW: THE PLAINTIFF, INDIGENT & UNLAWFULLY INCARCERATED, ASSERTS IN BRINGING THIS ACTION THAT THE PLAINTIFF HAS BEEN DENIED HIS FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS TO DUE PROCESS OF LAW, AND HAS BEEN UNLAWFULLY DENIED ACCESS TO THE COURTS, WARRANTING APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE, SEE HATFIELD V. BAILLEAUX, 290 F.2d 632 (9th Cir 1961);

(b) THE PLAINTIFF'S ACTION IS NECESSITATED AND BROUGHT ABOUT BY THE DEFENDANT'S ALLEGED CONSPIRACY TO CONCEAL THE PLAINTIFFS UNLAWFUL ARRESTS, AND TO OBTAIN INVALID CRIMINAL CONVICTIONS, THEREBY WARRANTING THE APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL, SEE WHITE V. WALSH, 649 F.2d 560 (8th CIR 1981);

(c) THE DENIAL OF COUNSEL, IN THE PARTICULAR CASE, WOULD RESULT IN A FUNDAMENTAL UNFAIRNESS, DUE TO PLAINTIFF'S CONTINUING DENIAL OF ACCESS TO COURTS/LAW LIBRARY, INFRINGING UPON THE INNOCENT PRISONER'S DUE PROCESS RIGHTS, THEREBY REQUIRING APPOINTMENT, SEE CHICKS V. DUCKWORTH, 705 F.2d 915 (7th CIR. 1983);

(d) APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL IS NECESSARY WHEN AN INNOCENT PRISONER, AS IN THIS CASE, IS PROHIBITED ADEQUATE ACCESS TO LAW LIBRARY, COPIER, TYPEWRITER, AND OTHER RESOURCES NEEDED TO PROSECUTE THE CASE, SEE RAYES V. JOHNSON, 969 F.2d 700 (8th CIR. 1992);

(e) INNOCENT PRISONER IS PERMANENTLY DISABLED, WITH A CHRONIC

(SPECIFICALLY PG 37 OF 38)

(SEE PGS 27 OF 38 TO 38 OF 38 ATTACHED)

① DIBILIATING DISEASE, CHRONIC DISEASE, WHICH LIMITS AND INTERFERES WITH THE INDIGENT DISABLED PRISONER'S ABILITY TO PRESENT HIS CASE TO THE COURT, AND RECEIVE A FAIR TRIAL. SEE MCCARTHY V. WEINBERG, 753 F.2d 836 (10th CIR 1985); JACKSON V. COUNTY OF MCLEAN, 953 F.2d 1070 (7th CIR 1992).

8. THE SUBJECT ACTION RELATES TO SIGNIFICANT CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES, OF PUBLIC IMPORTANCE, AS TO FULL FAITH & CREDIT, ACCESS TO COURTS, & FALSIFIED CRIMINAL HISTORY AND INDIVIDUAL AGENCY RECORDS, WHICH WARRANT THE APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL. ① AS THE CASE RAISES SEVERAL ISSUES OF FIRST IMPRESSION, WHICH COULD LEAD TO SIGNIFICANT PRECEDENTIAL AUTHORITY.

9. THE PLAINTIFF MOVES THE COURT FOR AN ORDER REQUIRING SERVICE OF THE SUMMONS & COMPLAINT ON THE DEFENDANTS BY THE U.S. MARSHAL SERVICE AT THE COST OF THE U.S.

I DECLARE THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT UNDER THE PENALTY OF PERJURY OF THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES.

DATED: ~~4/16/06~~ ~~4/17/06~~ 4/17/06 JAD

Pl-26 of 40

AND LEAVE COUNSEL, SCHEDULE APPOINTMENT OR ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL IN LAST 180 DAYS, AND EACH HAS FURTHER FINED TO REPLY OR DECLINED DUE TO COMPLEXITY OF CASE

From: Duncan J. McNeil To: James R. Larsen

Date: 7/30/2004 Time: 4:02:18 PM

Page 4 of 14

Social Security Administration
Retirement, Survivors and Disability Insurance
Notice of Award

Office of Central Operations
 1500 Woodlawn Drive
 Baltimore, Maryland 21241-1500
 Date: September 2, 2003
 Claim Number: 546-25-4246HA

0123 MCS,PCT,1,AA,THP,05,151
 DUNCAN J MCNEIL III
 PO BOX 2906
 SPOKANE, WA 99220-2906

99024951 02 MR 0.7M

|||||

You are entitled to monthly disability benefits beginning May 2003.

The Date You Became Disabled

We found that you became disabled under our rules on November 5, 2002. This is different from the date given on the application.

Also, you have to be disabled for 5 full calendar months in a row before you can be entitled to benefits. For these reasons, your first month of entitlement to benefits is May 2003.

What We Will Pay And When

- You will receive \$3,080.00 around September 8, 2003.
- This is the money you are due for May 2003 through August 2003.
- Your next payment of \$770.00, which is for September 2003, will be received on or about the third Wednesday of October 2003.
- After that you will receive \$770.00 on or about the third Wednesday of each month.
- These and any future payments will go to the financial institution you selected. Please let us know if you change your mailing address, so we can send you letters directly.

The day we make payments on this record is based on your date of birth.

Enclosure(s):
 Pub 05-10153
 Pub 05-10058

See Next Page

PG 27 OF 40

PG 9 OF 20

From: Duncan J. McNeil To: James R. Larsen

Date: 7/30/2004 Time: 4:02:18 PM

Page 5 of 14

546-25-4246HA

Page 2 of 3

Other Social Security Benefits

The benefit described in this letter is the only one you can receive from Social Security. If you think that you might qualify for another kind of Social Security benefit in the future, you will have to file another application.

Your Responsibilities

The decisions we made on your claim are based on information you gave us. If this information changes, it could affect your benefits. For this reason, it is important that you report changes to us right away.

We have enclosed a pamphlet, "When You Get Social Security Disability Benefits...What You Need To Know." It will tell you what must be reported and how to report. Please be sure to read the parts of the pamphlet which explain what to do if you go to work or if your health improves.

A provider of employment or vocational rehabilitation services may contact you about getting help to go to work. The provider may be a State vocational rehabilitation agency or a provider under contract with the Social Security Administration.

If you go to work, special rules allow us to continue your cash payments and health care coverage. For more information about how work and earnings affect disability benefits, call or visit any Social Security office and ask for the following publications:

- Social Security - Working While Disabled...How We Can Help (SSA Publication No. 05-10095).
- Social Security - If You Are Blind--How We Can Help (SSA Publication No. 05-10052).

Do You Disagree With The Decision?

If you disagree with this decision, you have the right to appeal. We will review your case and consider any new facts you have. A person who did not make the first decision will decide your case. We will correct any mistakes. We will review those parts of the decision which you believe are wrong and will look at any new facts you have. We may also review those parts which you believe are correct and may make them unfavorable or less favorable to you.

- You have 60 days to ask for an appeal.
- The 60 days start the day after you get this letter. We assume you got this letter 5 days after the date on it unless you show us that you did not get it within the 5-day period.
- You must have a good reason for waiting more than 60 days to ask for an appeal.
- You have to ask for an appeal in writing. We will ask you to sign a Form SSA-561-U2, called "Request for Reconsideration". Contact one of our offices if you want help.

P628 of 40

From: Duncan J. McNeil To: James R. Larsen

Date: 7/30/2004 Time: 4:02:18 PM

Page 6 of 14

546-25-4246HA

Page 3 of 3

Please read the enclosed pamphlet, "Your Right to Question the Decision Made on Your Social Security Claim". It contains more information about the appeal.

Things To Remember For The Future

Doctors and other trained staff decided that you are disabled under our rules. But, this decision must be reviewed at least once every 3 years. We will send you a letter before we start the review. Based on that review, your benefits will continue if you are still disabled, but will end if you are no longer disabled.

If You Want Help With Your Appeal

You can have a friend, lawyer or someone else help you. There are groups that can help you find a lawyer or give you free legal services if you qualify. There are also lawyers who do not charge unless you win your appeal. Your local Social Security office has a list of groups that can help you with your appeal.

If you get someone to help you, you should let us know. If you hire someone, we must approve the fee before he or she can collect it. And if you hire a lawyer, we will withhold up to 25 percent of any past due benefits to pay toward the fee.

If You Have Any Questions

We invite you to visit our website at www.socialsecurity.gov on the Internet to find general information about Social Security. If you have any specific questions, you may call us toll-free at 1-800-772-1213, or call your local Social Security office at 1-509-353-2591. We can answer most questions over the phone. If you are deaf or hard of hearing, you may call our TTY number, 1-800-325-0778. You can also write or visit any Social Security office. The office that serves your area is located at:

SOCIAL SECURITY
SUITE A
811 E SPRAGUE AVE
SPOKANE, WA 99202

If you do call or visit an office, please have this letter with you. It will help us answer your questions. Also, if you plan to visit an office, you may call ahead to make an appointment. This will help us serve you more quickly when you arrive at the office.

Jo Anne B. Barnhart

Jo Anne B. Barnhart
Commissioner
of Social Security

PL 29 OF 40

PL 11 OF 20

From: Duncan J. McNeil To: James R. Larsen

Date: 7/30/2004 Time: 4:02:18 PM

Page 7 of 14

Sent By: LINOLEUM AND CARPET CITY;
To: ESG EFAX At: 92713775

5093269438;

Dec-3-03 1:48PM;

Page 1/2

Social Security Administration
Retirement, Survivors, and Disability Insurance
Important Information

M7

Office of Central
Operations
1500 Woodlawn Drive
Baltimore, Maryland 21241-1500
Date: November 30, 2003
Claim Number: 546-25-4246 HA

Duncan McNeil III
PO Box 2906
Spokane WA 99220

We are writing to you about court order number IN4871523.

In an earlier letter, we told you that we might have to take money out of your Social Security payments to satisfy the court order. Washington State Support Registry has ordered us to take money out to collect child support and/or alimony. Therefore, we will reduce the monthly payments beginning November 2003.

What We Will Take Out

We will take out \$385.00 from each monthly payment to collect what you owe. ~~You will receive a check for \$385.00 each month~~ beginning with the check you receive around December 3, 2003.

If You Disagree With The Decision

If you disagree with the decision of Washington State Support Registry, you will need to contact them directly, or have a lawyer do this for you. They can be contacted at:

Washington State Support Registry
PO Box 45868
Olympia WA 98504

If You Have Any Questions

We invite you to visit our website at www.socialsecurity.gov on the Internet to find general information about Social Security. If you have any specific questions, you may call us toll-free at 1-800-772-1213, or call your local Social Security office at 1-509-353-2591. We can answer most questions over the phone. If you are deaf or hard of hearing, you may call our TTY number, 1-800-325-0778.

SEE NEXT PAGE.

PG 30 OF 40

~~PG 30 OF 40~~

From: Duncan J. McNeil To: James R. Larsen

Date: 7/30/2004 Time: 4:02:18 PM

Page 8 of 14

Sent By: LINGLEUM AND CARPET CITY;

5093269438;

Dec-8-03 1:48PM;

Page 2/2


546-25-4246 HA

Page 2

You can also write or visit any Social Security office. The office that serves your area is located at:


SOCIAL SECURITY
SUITE A
811 E SPRAGUE AVE
SPOKANE, WA 99202

If you do call or visit an office, please have this letter with you. It will help us answer your questions. Also, if you plan to visit an office, you may call ahead to make an appointment. This will help us serve you more quickly when you arrive at the office.



W. Burnell Hurt
Associate Commissioner for
Central Operations

86 31 OF 40



From: Duncan J. McNeil To: James R. Larsen

Date: 7/30/2004 Time: 4:02:18 PM

Page 9 of 14

Nov 07 03 01:50p

From: Duncan J. McNeil 509-271-3775 To: MD Dr. Charles W. Laudendach

Date: 11/7/2003 Time: 10:40:20 AM

p. 2
Page 2 of 2

Oct 08 03 03:34p

p. 2



400 East Fifth Avenue, P.O. Box 3449
Spokane, WA 99216-5649
Phone: (509) 838-2531 FX-449-775-4049
Fax: (509) 459-1277
www.rockwoodclinic.com

September 22, 2003

SATELLITE OFFICES
 Emory Medical Center
 Medical Lake Family Practice
 Rockwood Clinic Cardiology
 Rockwood Clinic Cancer & Allied Therapies
 Rockwood Clinic Eye Center
 Rockwood Clinic Gastroenterology
 Rockwood Clinic Internal Medicine
 Rockwood Clinic Neurology
 Rockwood Clinic Obstetrics/Gynecology
 Rockwood Clinic Pediatric Therapy
 Rockwood Clinic Podiatry
 Rockwood Clinic Skin
 Valley Rockwood Clinic
 Valley Rockwood Physical Therapy

Duncan J McNeil III
 P.O. Box 2906
 Spokane, WA 99220-2906

RE:
 MCNEIL, DUNCAN III J
 1571942
 DOB: 03/14/1957

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

Mr. McNeil is a patient whom I have seen since January of 2001. Mr. McNeil, unfortunately has a medical condition resulting in his inability to appear in court. I would appreciate it if this can be taken into consideration and possibly a telephone appearance could be allowed in this case.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Charles Laudendach, MD
 Internal Medicine

408/J:1351822/D:1484656/CL:10
 O: 09/22/2003 17:57:38
 T: 09/24/2003 08:15:48

PL 32 OF 40

PL 32 OF 40

Our mission: Rockwood Clinic is a physician owned, profit-making medical practice. Our team of doctors and staff is dedicated to the delivery of the best patient care available. We strive to provide a high quality and expanding environment. Our values: compassion • excellence • integrity • service • respect • safety.

20030922 X-44 Letter from Dr Laudendach SIGNED re telephone appearance.max

From: Duncan J. McNeill To: James R. Larsen

Date: 7/30/2004 Time: 4:02:18 PM

Page 10 of 14

Sent By: LINOLEUM AND CARPET CITY;
To: ESG EFAX At: 92713775

5093269438;

Sep-5-03 3:39PM;

Page 1/1

Ronald M. Klein, Ph.D.Behavioral Medicine Service
601 West Main Avenue, Suite 1011
Spokane, WA 99201 (509) 838-1285

09/02/2003

Division of Disability Determination

Spokane, WA

re: Duncan McNeill DOB: 3/14/1957

Dear Sir/Madam:


Mr. McNeill was a patient of mine three years ago. With his consent, I am providing you with the following information. His dates of service were:

11-14-00; 11-21-00; 11-28-00; 12-5-00; and 12-29-00.

He had been referred by his physician Dr. Creel at Rockwood Clinic. After my initial evaluation of him on 11-14-00, I diagnosed Mr. McNeill with [redacted confidential]

[redacted confidential] He appeared to be reacting to a newspaper story published at that time about his ongoing legal dispute with well known public officials. Mr. McNeill felt he had been characterized in that story in a grossly unfair manner. He was also being treated by his physician for ongoing [redacted] and was being medicated for that. I provided [redacted] to Mr. McNeill during those sessions and also made recommendations to his physician regarding use of [redacted confidential]. I have not seen him clinically since 12-29-00. I did have a recent phone conversation with him during which he informed me that his symptoms have continued on since that time and that your agency has found him to be disabled. It is my understanding that Mr. McNeill has undergone [redacted confidential] with other practitioners over these past 3 years.

Sincerely,



Ronald M. Klein, Ph.D.
Behavioral Medicine Service

PG 33 OF 40

PG 15 OF 20

From: Duncan J. McNeil To: James R. Larsen

Date: 7/30/2004 Time: 4:02:18 PM

Page 11 of 14

2004/JUL/14/WED 01:36 PM CHAS MAPLE

FAX No. 1-509-444-7807

P. 001/001



Community Health Association of Spokane

07/13/2004

RE: DJ McNeil

To Whom It may Concern:

Mr. McNeil has been diagnosed with colitis. He was last seen in clinic 05/24/04. He phoned the clinic 07/08/04 and stated he was having a flare of colitis. He phoned the clinic again today asking for a letter stating that he is having a flare of colitis, is bedridden, and is unable to appear in court on 07/14/04. Since the patient has not been seen in this clinic since May 24 of this year, I cannot verify his current health status relative to his colitis; nonetheless, he requested a letter to inform the court of the foregoing.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Bill Lawson, PA-C

CC: Patient file

Maple CHAS Clinic
3919 North Maple Street
Spokane, WA 99205
(509) 444-7801

DT CHAS Clinic
1001 W 2nd Ave.
Spokane, WA 99201
(509) 838-1205

Valley CHAS Clinic
9227 E. Main St.
Spokane, WA 99206
(509) 444-8200

NE CHAS Clinic
4001 N. Cook St
Spokane, WA 99207
(509) 487-1604

PG 34 OF 40

PG 16 OF 20



Community Health Association of Spokane

09/03/2004

RE: Dj McNeil

To: Whom It May Concern

This person has anxiety and is on treatment for it. He may do better to have telephone appearances for his court hearings.

Sincerely,

Alisa M. Hideg, MD

CC: Patient file

Maple CHAS Clinic
3919 North Maple Street
Spokane, WA 99205
(509) 444-7801

DT CHAS Clinic
1001 W 2nd Ave.
Spokane, WA 99201
(509) 835-1205

Valley CHAS Clinic
9227 E. Main St.
Spokane, WA 99206
(509) 444-8200

NE CHAS Clinic
4001 N. Cook St.
Spokane, WA 99207
(509) 487-1604

pg 35 of 40

pg 17 of 20

Community Health Association of Spokane

3919 North Maple St.
Spokane, WA 99205
(509) 444-7801

9227 E. Main St
Spokane, WA 99206
(509) 444-8200

1001 W. 2nd
Spokane, WA 99201
(509) 835-1205

4001 N. Cook St
Spokane, WA 99207
(509) 487-1604

Encounter Date: 09/03/2004 Provider: Alisa Hideg MD

Patient Name: McNeil, Dj Date of Birth: 03/14/1957

Pt. here for Follow-up OV.

47 Years old, male Pt. here for Follow-up OV.

CHIEF COMPLAINT

1. **Colitis (follow-up)** Comments: Pt states that he is having a flare up of his colitis again. Pt feels he is having burning w/ urination and stools passing. Pt has not had much blood in his stool for 6 weeks. He had bleeding for approximately 6 days in his emesis and stools at that time 6 weeks ago. Nauseated x two and 1/2 weeks now.

2. **Anxiety (follow-up)** Comments: He denies caffeine use. Pt is going to court re: charges against him - not specific. Has friend who is here w/ him. Pt wants medication to use when anxious about going outside

CHRONIC CONDITIONS

1. **ASTHMA.**
2. **Anxiety state NOS.**

CURRENT MEDICATIONS

<u>Brand Name</u>	<u>Dose</u> <u>Note</u>	<u>Route Desc</u>	<u>Siq Desc</u>
Prevacid daily (PT ASSISTANCE)	30mg	Oral	Take one capsule by mouth
Advair Diskus twice daily	100/50	Inhalation	Inhale 1 puff into your lungs
Celebrex	200mg	Oral	one tablet by mouth daily
Albuterol	90mcg	Inhalation	
Flovent	110mcg	Inhalation	
Prilosec	20mg	Oral	

ALLERGIES

Description

Reaction:

No Known Drug Allergies

Nurse/MA Comments:

Allergy List Confirmed. Medications Confirmed. Immunizations Confirmed.
Immunizations Up-to-Date

Physical Examination:Vital Signs:

Height: 72.00 inches. (182.88 cm), Weight: 216.00 lbs. (98.18 kgs). BMI = 29.32;

Temperature: 97.00 F. (36.11 C) Respirations: 16

170/120 Right arm sitting. (used Regular Adult cuff).

Pulse rate is 84 per minute, regular.

Orthostatic B/Ps: L arm supine, B/P is 160/100; Pulse L arm supine is 84 beats/minute.

Constitutional:

McNeil, Dj

Alisa Hideg MD

PG 36 OF 40

09/03/2004

No acute distress. Well nourished.
Appearance: disheveled.

Abdomen: Abdomen soft, non-tender, non-distended; normal bowel tones; no hepatosplenomegaly. No palpable mass; no CVA tenderness.

P.H.Q.

1. Feeling down, depressed or hopeless?
Nearly every day.
2. Little interest or pleasure in doing things.
Not at all.
3. Trouble falling asleep or sleeping too much
Nearly every day.
4. Feeling tired or having little energy
Nearly every day.
5. Poor appetite or overeating
Nearly every day.
6. Feeling bad about yourself--or that you are a failure or have let yourself or your family down.
Nearly every day.
7. Trouble concentrating on things, such as reading the newspaper or watching television.
Nearly every day.
8. Moving or speaking so slowly that other people could have noticed? Or the opposite--being so fidgety or restless that you have been moving around a lot more than usual?
Nearly every day.
9. Thoughts that you would be better off dead, or of hurting yourself in some way?
Not at all.
10. If you are experiencing any of these problems, how difficult have these problems made it for you to do your work, take care of things at home or get along with other people?
Extremely difficult.
11. If these problems have caused you difficulty, have they caused you difficulty for two years or more?
Yes, I have had difficulty with these problems for 2 years or more.

How many days in the last two weeks have you missed doing things because you are depressed?
14 Day(s)

Depression symptom score is 0;
Severity score is 21; Severe Depression.

* **Client has significant functionability impairment.**

Consider DX of Dysthymia.

Client is in CHAPPY Registry.

Next PHQ due in 4-8 weeks, (10/01/2004).

In-House labs:

Urine Dipstick values:

Spec gravity: 1.015; Ph: 5; Leukocytes: negative; Nitrites: negative; Protein: negative; Glucose: normal; Ketones: negative; Urobilinogen: normal; Bilirubin: negative;
Blood: negative;
Blood glucose: 96mg/dl.

ASSESSMENT / PLAN

40

1. Colitis, ulcerative NOS (Re: eval & TX of ICD-9 556.9).

- Start Asacol

F/U w/ GI

2. Panic disorder (Re: eval & TX of ICD-9 300.01).

Pt to increase zoloft dose

Use hydroxyzine prn

Medications ordered this visit: (Potential adverse drug reactions discussed.)

<u>Brand Name</u>	<u>Dose</u>	<u>Rx Refills</u>	<u>Rx Quantity</u>	<u>Sig Desc</u>
Asacol	400mg	0	30	one tablet by mouth three times dai
Metamucil		0	0	1 tbsp po BID
Zoloft	100mg	3	30	two tablets by mouth daily
Atarax	100mg	1	90	1/2 to 1 tab po q 4-6 hrs prn anxiety

MA/Nurse: Mark E. Brooks

Alisa Hideg MD

"FOREIGN JUDGMENTS"**EXHIBIT "2"****AUTHENTICATION & REGISTRATION THEREOF:**

- 1 a. NOTICE of Filing of "Assignment of Interests in Bankruptcy Court Judgments
- 2 Rendered in Another District, for the Benefit of Creditors, in aid of the Judgment,
- 3 and in the aid of the Enforcement and Execution Thereof", filed 3-22-02 in Misc Case
- 4 No 02-02, filed as Docket #535, on March 22, 2002, in Case No. 01-06073-W11,
- 5 USBC-ED-WA;
- 6 b. "Order Approving Disclosure Statement and Fixing Time for Filing Acceptances or
- 7 Rejections of Plan, Combined With Notice Thereof", (hereinafter "Broadway
- 8 Disclosure Statement"), entered December 21, 1998, as Docket #112 in Case No. LA
- 9 98-18082-SB, USBC-CD-CA-LA;
- 10 c. "Order Approving 'Judgment Creditors' Second Amended Chapter 11 Plan",
- 11 (hereinafter "Broadway's First Plan w/Discharge"), entered March 19, 1999, in Case
- 12 No. LA 98-18082-SB, , USBC-CD-CA-LA, as Docket #129, along with the Clerk's
- 13 Notice of Entry of Judgment or Order and Certificate of Mailing and Proof of Service
- 14 on Counsel;
- 15 d. "Order Approving 'Judgment Creditors' Second Amended Chapter 11 Plan (As
- 16 Modified)", (hereinafter "Broadway's Second Plan"), entered June 16, 1999, in Case
- 17 No. LA 98-18082-SB, USBC-CD-CA-LA, along with the Clerk's Notice of Entry of
- 18 Judgment or Order and Certificate of Mailing and Proof of Service;
- 19 e. "Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in Support of Order Disallowing Claims of
- 20 John H. Smith and Robert Hayes", (hereinafter "Fraudulent Deed Findings"), entered
- 21 December 29, 1998, in Adversary Case No. AD-98-01685-SB, USBC-CD-CA-LA,
- 22 along with the Clerk's Notice of Entry of Judgment or Order and Certificate of
- 23 Mailing and Proof of Service;
- 24 f. "Judgment and Order Pursuant to Summary Judgment Motions", (hereinafter
- 25 "Fraudulent Deed Judgment"), entered December 29, 1998, in Adversary Case No.
- 26 AD 98-01685-SB, USBC-CD-CA-LA, along with the Clerk's Notice of Entry of
- 27 Judgment or Order and Certificate of Mailing and Proof of Service;
- 28 g. "Stipulated Order Re: Liability and Damages", entered October 30, 2000 in Case
- h. No. CS-97-435-RHW, USDC-ED-WA;
- i. Writ of Obedience #02-0001, issued May 31, 2002, in Spokane County Superior
- j. Court Case No. 02-2-02825-4; **DOC#14, FILED 10/21/04** **ⓐ**
- k. Writ of Obedience #02-0002, issued June 6, 2002, in Case No. 02-2-02825-4; **DOC#15** **ⓐ**
- l. Writ of Obedience #02-0003, issued June 7, 2002, in Case No. 02-2-02825-4; **DOC#16** **ⓐ**
- m. Writ of Obedience #02-0004, issued June 12, 2002, in Case No. 02-2-02825-4; **DOC#17** **ⓐ**
- n. Writ of Obedience #02-0005, issued July 18, 2002, in Case No. 02-2-02825-4; **DOC#18** **ⓐ**
- o. ORDER denying relief from stay Re: Item # 41. with Notice of Entry, filed 1/11/2000
- p. as Docket #137, incorporating therein "Opposition Re: Item # 41. to motions to lift
- q. stay: opposition to motion to annul stay, filed 12/9/1999, as Docket #94", and
- r. incorporating "Opposition Re: Item # 57, to motion to lift stay and to motion to
- s. annul, filed 12/10/1999, as Docket #95"; all filed in Case No. LA 99-39555-SB,
- t. USBC-CD-CA-LA;
- u. BAP/USDC appeal judgment - the Bankruptcy Court judgment is AFFIRMED. BAP
- v. #CC-00-1049 RE: Item #154, filed 1/16/2001, as Docket #359, Case No. LA
- w. 99-39555-SB, USBC-CD-CA-LA;
- x. ORDER Granting Debtors Motion to Strike Liens, filed 9/15/1998, as Docket #199,
- y. in Case No. 96-02980-K11, USBC-ED-WA;
- z. NOTICE of Filing of "Request to Clerk for Registration of Judgment Rendered in
- aa. Another Court, filed 3-21-02 as Misc Case No. 02-01 (02-01731 DJM \$), filed
- ab. 3/27/2002, as Docket #536, Case No. 01-06073-W11, USBC-ED-WA;
- ac. EXHIBIT I Admitted at hearing on 12-5-01; re: Amendment to Settlement
- ad. Agreement and Mutual Release and Personal Services Contract Effective 5-24-01
- ae. between Duncan J McNeil and Broadway Buildings II LP. Re: Oust Motin to
- af. Convert Case to Ch 7, Docket #44 & Joinder therein Docket #212, as Docket #459,
- ag. Case No. 01-06073-W11, USBC-ED-WA;

ⓐ DOCS REFER TO "PACER" DOCKET NUMBERS FOR CASE NO:

2:04-CV-00427-AAM; USDC

**EASTERN DISTRICT
OF WASHINGTON**

PL

39 OF 40

"FOREIGN JUDGMENTS" Ex. 2 (PG 2 OF 2)

- r. EXHIBIT J Admitted at hearing on 12-5-01; Re: Amendment to Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release and Personal Services Contract, effective 8-17-01 between Duncan J McNeil, GMFL Reorganization Corporation, and Broadway Buildings II LP RE: Oust Motion to Convert Case to Ch 7, Docket #44 and Joinder therein Docket #212, filed 1/4/2002, as Docket #460, Case No. 01-06073-W11, USBC-ED-WA;
- s. PROPOSED Exhibit "D-D" to Supplement Exhibits offered at hearing on 12-5-01; RE: 1) Standard Form 95 Claim for Damages filed by Duncan J McNeil 11-23-99 with the Oust-Ed-WA, in the Sum of \$5211.926, ... filed 2/6/2002, as Docket #'s 506, 506A, Case No. 01-06073-W11, USBC-ED-WA;
- t. PROPOSED Exhibit "I-I" to Supplement Exhibits offered at hearing on 12-5-01; re: 1) Standard Form 95 Claim for Damages filed by Duncan J McNeil on 3-6-98 with the Oust-Ed-WA, in the sum of \$4,651,000. Re: Oust Motion to Convert Case to Ch 7, Docket #44 and Joinder therein Docket #212, filed 2/11/2002, as Docket #512, Case No. 01-06073-W11, USBC-ED-WA;
- u. (1) NOTICE of Separation Contract & Dissolution of Marriage Contract Pursuant to RCW 26.0-9.070 filed 7-26-01 as Document #4613783 with the County Recorder for Spokane County, Washington, (pages 1 of 29 to 29 of 29); (2) JOINT Petition for Dissolution of Marriage, filed 7-26-01 in Case No. 01-301586-7 (pages 2 of 29 to 5 of 29); 3) SEPARATION Contract and Dissolution of Marriage Contract Pursuant to RCW 26.09.070, filed 7-26-01 in Case No. 01-301586-7, (pages 6 of 29 to 29 of 29), filed 2/6/2002, as Docket #505, Case No. 01-06073-W11, USBC-ED-WA;
- v. ~~Case # 01-06073-W11, USBC-ED-WA, entered 12/5/01 in Spokane County Superior Court Case # 01-06073-W11, USBC-ED-WA, except those portions of the decree that were entered in violation of law, and in violation of the Complainant's civil and constitutional rights, as a parent.~~

AUTHENTICATION & REGISTRATION OF JUDGMENTS

I, DUNCAN J. MCNEIL III, AND THE LAWFUL OWNER OF THE FOREGOING LISTED "FOREIGN JUDGMENTS" AND I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT TRUE AND CORRECT COPIES OF THESE "FOREIGN JUDGMENTS" ARE ON FILE IN THE IDENTIFIED ACTIONS AND DOCKET #'S AND THAT THESE "FOREIGN JUDGMENTS" ARE OFFICIALLY PUBLISHED ON THE COURT'S "PACER" SYSTEM, PURSUANT TO FRCP 44(a)(1) AND FRE RULE 201(d)(2) AND ARE THE "OFFICIAL PUBLICATIONS THEREOF". BY THIS MOTION/PETITION/ APPLICATION I REQUEST THAT THE CLERK OF THE COURT PRINT, FILE AND REGISTER THESE "FOREIGN JUDGMENTS" FROM THE COURT'S "PACER" SYSTEM AND THAT THESE "FOREIGN JUDGMENTS" BE GRANTED FULL FAITH & CREDIT IN THIS COURT PURSUANT TO 28 USC § 1793, 28 USC § 1738 AND U.S. CONST. ART. IV § 1 (AND THE APPLICABLE STATE UEFJA). I DECLARE THE FOREGOING TO BE TRUE AND CORRECT UNDER THE PENALTY OF PERJURY.

DATED: ~~7/6/06~~ 4/13/06

[Signature]
JUDGMENT CREATOR

JUL 24 2004 VR

DUNCAN J. McNEIL
SPOKANE CO. JAIL
1100 W. MARCON
SPOKANE, WA 99260



CLERK

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

844 KNOX STREET

LOCK BOX 18

WILMINGTON, DE 19801-

3570

U.S.M.S.
X-RAY

LEGAL MAIL